

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,926	01/16/2004	Maurice Eduardus Theodorus van Esbroeck	V0028/296360	6540
23370 7	590 09/29/2005	·	EXAMINER	
JOHN S. PRATT, ESQ			PRICE, RICHARD THOMAS JR	
KILPATRICK STOCKTON, LLP 1100 PEACHTREE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, G	GA 30309		3643	
			DATE MAIL ED: 00/20/2006	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/758,926	VAN ESBROECK	ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thomas Price	3643				
? Period for I	The MAILING DATE of this communicati Reply	on appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ac	idress			
WHICHI - Extensio after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL ins of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by received by the Office later than three months after that term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMM CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, r tion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6 y statute, cause the application to become	IUNICATION. nay a reply be timely filed i) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status							
1) 🛛 R	esponsive to communication(s) filed or	n 09-19-2005					
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
OI.	osea in accordance with the practice a	ridor Ex parto Quayro, 1000	, O.B. 11, 100 O.G. 210.				
Disposition	of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 5-43 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3 and 4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application	Papers						
9)[] T h	e specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
A	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Re	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
A444							
Attachment(s)	f References Cited (PTO-892)	4\ \	view Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice o	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-5 ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO o(s)/Mail Date	948) Pape /SB/08) 5) Notic	view Summary (P10-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

The Applicant's election of Species 4 of Group I as claimed in claims 1, 3 and 4 with traverse is noted in the correspondence submitted on 09-19-2005. However, the Applicant's arguments are solely directed to U.S. restriction practice. The restriction and election put forth by the Examiner on 08-18-2005 is based on PCT Rule 13. The standards for lack of unity are different than those applicable in U.S. restriction practice. The Examiner does not have to prove or state that a different field of search would be necessary. As such, the lack of unity requirement is final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burnett (US Patent 5,899,802) in view of Dew (US Patent 4,196,221).

Burnett teaches tenderizing poultry meat through constant electrical stimulation.

Burnett teaches supplying live poultry, stunning the live poultry in a stunning device, placing the stunned poultry into product carriers advanced along a conveyor, killing the stunned poultry, and removing the feathers from the dead poultry in a plucking device. An electrical voltage is applied across the carcass of the poultry at the location of the breast of the carcass as the carcass is led over an electrode connected to a power

Art Unit: 3643

source. However, Burnett does not teach an electrical stimulation downstream of the plucking device. Dew teaches processing food products, i.e. plucked poultry carcasses, by spraying the food products with water by electrostatic polarization of the water before or during chilling.

Regarding claim 1, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Burnett with an electrical stimulation, in view of the teachings of Dew, in order to reduce the amount of water used in the processing of the food product and improved washing of the food products.

Conclusion

Summary: Claims 1, 3 and 4 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Price whose telephone number is 571-272-6892. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30a.m. to 3:00p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on 571-272-6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/758,926

Art Unit: 3643

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas Price

Primary Examiner GAU: 3643

rtp